

*Patent Application Serial No. 10/542,005
Second Reply to Office Action of June 6, 2008*

REMARKS

(1) The claims are formally amended to overcome the rejection under § 101 by reciting the data-storage medium of claim 1, so as to put the case into better condition for appeal. The preambles of all the claims 2-7 and 13-17 are amended to recite "The data-storage medium containing the data structure" according to the base claim. The other dependent claims are amended for consistency to recite "The" instead of "A."


For the record, the rejection under § 101 is still respectfully traversed on the grounds previously stated, especially the ground of inconsistency (the Applicant previously noted that "the other dependent claims have the same preamble as the rejected claims" but those were not rejected).

(2) The Examiner indicated that the amendments of August 20, 2008 will be entered. Therefore this amendment is made relative to the August 20 paper.

(3) A notice of appeal is expected to be filed shortly.

Respectfully submitted,

KRATZ, QUINTOS & HANSON, LLP



Nick S. Bromer

Attorney for Applicant

Reg. No. 33,478

NSB/lrj
Atty. Docket No. 050445
Suite 400
1420 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 659-2930

23850

PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE